

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANTHONY MILLER

Plaintiff,

SCHEDULING ORDER
22-CV-6069-FPG-MJP

vs.

THE CITY OF ROCHESTER, et al.,

Defendants.

The parties have filed a motion to extend the deadlines. (ECF No. 26). As the parties have shown good cause, the Court

ORDERS that:

1. This case has been referred automatically to the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") program. The ADR Plan and related forms and information are available at www.nywd.uscourts.gov and the Court Clerk's Office.
 - a. The initial mediation session shall be held no later than **December 29, 2023**.
 - b. The referral to mediation shall terminate on **February 25, 2023**. In the event that settlement is not reached, the case will progress toward trial, as scheduled below.

- c. The referral of this case to mediation will not delay or defer other dates contained in this Scheduling Order and has no effect on the progress of the case toward trial.
2. All factual discovery in this case shall be completed on or before **October 30, 2023**.
3. Plaintiffs shall identify any expert witnesses and provide reports pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 by **October 29, 2023**. Defendants shall identify any expert witnesses and provide reports pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 by **December 3, 2023**.
4. Dispositive motions, if any, shall be filed no later than **April 5, 2024**. Unless a consent to proceed before this Court is filed, such motions shall be made returnable before Judge Geraci.
5. A trial date status conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 16(e) and Local Rule 16 will be held, if necessary, at a date and time to be determined by the trial judge after determination of dispositive motions. If no dispositive motions are filed, counsel shall immediately contact the trial judge so that a trial date status conference can be scheduled.

At least seven (7) days prior to the trial date status conference, the parties shall file a joint case status report setting forth the information described below. If the parties disagree as to the information to be provided, the report must set forth their different responses. The joint status report shall contain:

- a. Nature of the Case: Set forth a brief description of the action, identifying the parties, all counsel of record, the relief requested, any affirmative defenses and any relationship the case may have to other pending actions.
 - b. Motion Practice: Are any motions, dispositive or non-dispositive, pending? If so, briefly describe the motion. Explain if additional motion practice is necessary before the matter is ready to be tried.
 - c. Settlement: Describe the status of settlement negotiations. If the parties believe a court supervised settlement/mediation conference would be of assistance in resolving the case or narrowing disputed issues, please state.
 - d. Trial: State whether the case is ready for trial. If not, explain why. Set forth an estimate of how long the trial will take and whether the case is jury or non-jury.
6. Requests to extend the above cut-off dates may be granted upon written application, made prior to the cutoff date, and showing good cause for the extension. Application for extensions should be made to the Magistrate Judge. Joint or unopposed requests to extend the deadlines set forth in this order need not be made by formal motion, but rather may be sought in a letter to the court. Letter requests must detail good cause for the extension and propose new deadlines.

7. The Court requires that should any discovery dispute arise between the parties that a letter be sent to the Court detailing the dispute prior to any motion practice.

SO ORDERED.

DATED:

August 2, 2023
Rochester, New York

Mark Pedersen
MARK W. PEDERSEN
United States Magistrate Judge